

**BREAST CANCER RESEARCH COUNCIL**  
**Waterfront Hotel - May 10, 1996**  
**Kaiser Center - May 11, 1996**  
**Oakland, California**

**MINUTES**

**ATTENDEES:**

**Members:** Lisa Bailey, Chris Benz, Susan Claymon, William Comer, J. Patrick Fitch, Patricia Ganz, Marco Gottardis, Deborah Johnson, Liana Lianov, John Link, Carol MacLeod, Carol Pulskamp, Susan Shinagawa, Carol Voelker, and Barnarese Wheatley.

**Staff:** Larry Gruder, Mhel Kavanaugh-Lynch, Mary Kreger, Annette McCoubrey, and Walter Price.

**Absent Member:** Andrea Martin

The meeting was called to order at 5:15 P.M. by the Chair, Susan Shinagawa.

**FRIDAY, MAY 10, 1996**

**I. GENERAL COUNCIL MATTERS:**

**A. REVIEW OF THE MINUTES**

Minutes from the February 28 and March 16 meetings were reviewed.

**MOTION:** *Carol Voelker moved that the minutes be accepted, Lisa Bailey seconded the motion, and it was carried unanimously.*

**B. ELECTION OF CHAIR FOR BREAST CANCER RESEARCH COUNCIL**

It was decided that this item would be deferred to Saturday.

**C. PROPOSED RETIRED MEMBERS COMMITTEE**

The Council discussed the role of retired members and decided that a retired members' network would be worthwhile for the program.

**MOTION:** *Carol Voelker moved that there be an emeritus members network and Marco Gottardis seconded it, and the motion was carried unanimously.*

**II STAFF REPORT**

**A. UPDATE ON AUDIT**

Recent newspaper articles regarding the audit were discussed. Mhel Kavanaugh-Lynch reported that Barbara Friedman had called her and Larry Gruder to reconfirm her support of the Program and its activities.

The University is required to report to the auditors 60 days, six months, and one year following the audit on its response to the recommendations. There are two primary issues:

- (1) The length of time that primary documents from reviewers are kept.
- (2) How the program accounts for grant expenditures (i.e., whether expenditures must be tied to a particular appropriation).

Carol MacLeod suggested that the Council write an opinion and make a statement to demonstrate publicly their position. Chair Susan Shinagawa asked Carol MacLeod, Chris Benz, and Patty Ganz to work on a council statement.

**B. UPCOMING COUNCIL APPOINTMENTS**

The number of nominees and openings are as follows:

	<u>Nominees</u>	<u>Openings</u>
Industry	11	1
Advocates	26	3
Scientist/Clinician	42	2

Mhel Kavanaugh-Lynch urged the Council to provide their feedback quickly once they receive the candidates' biosketches.

**C. UPDATE ON ANNUAL REPORT**

Mhel Kavanaugh-Lynch asked the council members to submit comments on the Annual Report, especially on the new sections (Executive Summary, and Relationship between State and Federal Funding of Breast Cancer Sections).

**D. UPDATE ON LEGISLATIVE ISSUES**

An update was provided on the bills mentioned at the February council meeting:

- (1) SB1832: A bill proposing to give money to the Board of Equalization for administering the Breast Cancer Fund. This provision has been withdrawn.
- (2) A bill to change the name of the Breast Cancer Early Detection Program.
- (3) AB2915: A bill proposing amendments to the breast cancer legislation and placing the legislation in State Code. These changes include the following:
  - (a.) The qualification for the industry representative is changed to a Ademonstrated commitment≅ to breast cancer.
  - (b.) The reviewers that Council members help identify are expanded to include industry representatives and advocates.
  - (c.) A requirement that all reviewers for study sections be from outside the state of California is added.

E. **UPDATE ON CALL FOR APPLICATIONS**

The following Council subcommittees exist for the development of materials for the Call for Applications:

1. Community-Initiated Research Collaboration (CIRC) subcommittee
2. Translational Research Collaboration (TRC.) subcommittee
3. Research Project Awards (RFAs) subcommittees (2)

Questions were asked about whether the TRC Award should include treatment, in light of the fact that we also offer the Innovative Treatment and Models of Care Award? It was decided that treatment could be included in the TRC mechanism, especially since it calls for collaborative efforts, and therefore differs from the ITaMoCA. It was also decided that, for both the CIRC and the TRC Awards, receiving a Pilot Award should not be a pre-requisite for applying for the full Research Award in Cycle IV.

The Council then went into closed session for the remainder of the meeting.

**SATURDAY, MAY 11, 1996**

The meeting was called to order at 8:00 by the Chair, Susan Shinagawa.

The council was provided a list of members who were eligible to be chair.

***MOTION: Susan Shinagawa nominated Carol MacLeod as Chair. Carol MacLeod declined the nomination and nominated Carol Voelker. Marco Gottardis then nominated Carol MacLeod as Vice-Chair. Both nominations were seconded by Debbie Johnson and the Council passed the motion unanimously.***

Certificates of appreciation were presented to retiring Council members.

The remaining portion of the meeting on Saturday, May 11 was a closed session, during which the Council discussed the Cycle II grant applications and arrived at a list of grants which it recommended for funding.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:00 PM.

**BREAST CANCER RESEARCH COUNCIL MEETING  
May 10 & 11, 1996**

**FLIP CHART TRANSCRIPTION**

**Current Funded List:**

Recommended Funded Grants per Funding Model

**STANDBY LIST:**

Cabot	488,857
Formenti	80,817
Oshima (RB)	627,272
Rubinsky (CB)	200,000
Meisenberg(CB)	199,899
Reed (RB)	595,229